

## Bath & North East Somerset Council

DECISION MAKER:	<b>Cllr Caroline Roberts, Cabinet Member for Transport</b>		
DECISION DATE:	<b>On or after 27<sup>th</sup> July 2013</b>	EXECUTIVE FORWARD PLAN REFERENCE:	
		<b>E</b>	<b>2540</b>
TITLE:	(Various Roads, Bath and North East Somerset)(Prohibition and Restriction of Parking)(No Stopping On School Entrance Markings) (Authorised Parking Places) Order 201- – consideration of responses to public consultation		
WARD:	Mendip, Farmborough, Midsomer Norton North, Oldfield, Lyncombe, Westmoreland, Peasedown St John, Publow and Whitchurch, Kingsmead, Oldfield, Bathavon South, Keynsham North, Timsbury, Lambridge, Clutton, Widcombe, Bathwick, Keynsham South, Newbridge, Bathavon North, Keynsham East, Lansdown, Bathavon South, Combe Down, Odd Down, Radstock, Chew Stoke North, Chew Valley South, Westfield.		
<b>AN OPEN PUBLIC ITEM</b>			
<b>List of attachments to this report:</b>			
<b>Appendix 1 Plans of the Proposals Recommended for Modification</b>			
<b>Appendix 2 List of Responses to Consultation</b>			

### 1 THE ISSUE

1.1 This report considers comments received in response to public advertisement of the (Various Roads, Bath And North East Somerset) (Prohibition And Restriction Of Parking) (No Stopping On School Entrance Markings) (Authorised Parking Places) Order 201-

### 2 RECOMMENDATION

The Cabinet Member is asked to agree that:

2.1 Proposals as advertised at the following sites are modified, as recommended in section 5 below:

Beechen Cliff secondary school

Ubley primary school

Marksbury primary school

Bathwick St Mary's primary school

Pensford primary school

St Saviour's primary school

Oldfield park infants' school

2.2 Proposals at all other sites are implemented as advertised.

### **3 FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS**

3.1 Funding for the scheme is included in the 2013/14 Integrated Transport Block Capital Programme

### **4 CORPORATE OBJECTIVES**

- *Promoting independence and positive lives for everyone*
- *Creating neighbourhoods where people are proud to live*

### **5 THE REPORT**

- 5.1 The proposals were developed as the result of issues raised by Ward Councillors and local residents, concerning increasing problems around schools as a result of the growing number of vehicles parking inappropriately. The proposals were publicly advertised from 7<sup>th</sup> February to 28<sup>th</sup> February 2013, with a total of 50 responses received. The responses are summarised in Appendix 2.
- 5.2 Individual locations included in the original proposals are listed below, along with a recommended way forward. Locations where amendments are recommended are shown in italics (plans of the original proposals at these sites are shown in Appendix 1).
- 5.3 A full set of plans of the original proposals runs to 33 separate items, and is considered too bulky to append to this report, however some or all of these can be supplied on request by Kris Gardom, Traffic & Safety Team (01225 395362)

### **PROPOSED PARKING RESTRICTION:**

**St Saviour's School (Avondale Buildings/Salisbury Road/Worcester Buildings):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. One entrance to the school is no longer used so zig-zags/waiting restrictions cannot be justified. Waiting restrictions on the east side of Worcester Buildings would also penalise church users as they have nowhere else nearby to park. Therefore recommend withdrawal of the proposal to implement the No Stopping on School Keep Clear markings restriction, and the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings on the west side of Worcester Buildings; and the No Waiting At Any Time markings on the east side of Worcester Buildings adjacent to the church. Recommend that the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings around the junctions of Avondale Buildings and Salisbury Road are implemented as advertised.*

**Ashford Road/Moorfields Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Bath Road (Peasedown St John):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Chantry Mead Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Cherwell Road/Lambourne Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Church Lane:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Church Road / North Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**College Road / Richmond Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised. Only one objection was received to the No Waiting Between Times markings proposed on Richmond Road, as it was felt they would be visually obtrusive. It was felt however that with the use of black small diameter posts and small restriction signs the impact of the proposed markings would be minimal and should therefore be implemented as advertised.

**Oldfield Park School (Dorset Close):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. The photography studio objected to the proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time markings on the south east side of Dorset Close opposite properties 1 – 3, as this loss of parking would jeopardise the business. Therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. It was considered that the rest of the proposed No Waiting At Any Time markings, No Stopping On School Keep Clear markings and proposed 30 minute Limited Waiting Bay outside Oldfield Park Infants School should be implemented as advertised.*

**Eldon Place:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Frome Road (Odd Down):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Frome Road (Writhlington) / Knobsbury Lane / Old Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**High Street (Wellow) / Mill Hill:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**High Street (Midsomer Norton):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Kelston Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Beechen Cliff School (Kipling Avenue/Shakespeare Avenue):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. Frontagers objected to the proposal to implement No Waiting At Any Time markings on the north side of Shakespeare Avenue outside property number 107, as it reduced overall parking stock, and was of no major benefit. It is agreed that this proposal can be dispensed with, therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. Recommend that the rest of the proposals are implemented as advertised.*

**Lansdown Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Lansdown View:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised. One objection was received to this proposal, however it was considered that the proposals would improve the safety for children around the school entrances so should be introduced.

**Marksbury School:** *There are currently no school keep clear markings at this site. Villagers were contacted via leaflet drop explaining the proposals, and asking for their views. The majority of feedback was in strong opposition to the proposals, therefore recommend that the proposals are withdrawn.*

**Meadway:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Northampton Buildings:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Oldfield Lane:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Pensford School (Pensford Hill):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the school during the public consultation. The school objected to the proposal to implement No Waiting At Any Time markings on the east side of Pensford Hill, due to the need for the school oil tank to be accessed via the road from this location. Therefore recommend withdrawal of this proposal. Recommend that the rest of the proposals are implemented as advertised.*

**School Lane (Chew Stoke):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Tanner Close/Wells Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Ubley School (The Street):** *There are currently no school keep clear zig-zags in place. The Parish Council considered the proposed markings would adversely affect the character of the village, and also that the current level of parked vehicles act as a traffic calming measure. Therefore recommend that the proposals are withdrawn and not implemented.*

**Upper Oldfield Park:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Upper Stanton (Stanton Drew):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received

#### **PROPOSED LIMITED WAITING:**

**Bath Road (Peasedown St John):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**Bathwick St Mary's School (Beckford Road):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the school during the public consultation. Parents were concerned that the proposed reduction from 1 hour to 30 minutes limited waiting would not allow them enough time to collect their children from both the infants and junior schools and return to their vehicles. Therefore recommend reducing the current 1 hour limit on the Beckford Road Limited Waiting bay to 45 minutes, instead of the proposed reduction to 30 minutes. Recommend that the proposed operation times of the bay and no return times are implemented as advertised.*

**Oldfield Park School (Dorset Close):** *That the proposals are modified to reflect the feedback from the public consultation. The photography studio objected to the proposal to reduce the time restriction of the Limited Waiting parking bay on the east side of Dorset Close from 2 hours to 30 minutes. It was considered that the current time restriction of 2 hours provided available parking for customers of the photography studio so should remain unchanged. Therefore recommend withdrawal of the proposal to reduce limited waiting in this bay from 2 hours to 30 minutes. Recommend that the proposed 30 minute Limited Waiting Bay outside the school should be implemented as advertised.*

#### **PROPOSED RESTRICTED COACH PARKING:**

**Upper Oldfield Park:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

#### **PROPOSED REMOVAL OF EXISTING NO WAITING AT ANY TIME:**

**School Lane (Batheaston):** That the proposals are implemented as advertised, as available off street parking in Batheaston is at a premium. The local parish council and the church hall agree that the removal of this stretch of No Waiting At Any Time markings will provide much needed increase to the available parking.

#### **PROPOSED REMOVAL OF EXISTING LIMITED WAITING PARKING BAY:**

**Newlands Road:** That the proposals are implemented as advertised as no objections were received.

**6 RISK MANAGEMENT**

6.1 The report author and Cabinet member have fully reviewed the risk assessment related to the issue and recommendations, in compliance with the Council's decision making risk management guidance.

**7 EQUALITIES**

7.1 An EqIA has been completed. No adverse or other significant issues were found.

**8 RATIONALE**

8.1 The proposals are designed to address operational traffic issues.

**9 OTHER OPTIONS CONSIDERED**

9.1 None considered.

**10 CONSULTATION**

10.1 Ward Councillor; Cabinet members; Staff; Other B&NES Services; Local Residents; Other Public Sector Bodies; Section 151 Finance Officer; Monitoring Officer

10.2 Ward Councillors, Emergency Services and local residents have been consulted via public advertisement. Internal staff have been consulted via circulation of this report.

**11 ISSUES TO CONSIDER IN REACHING THE DECISION**

Social Inclusion; Customer Focus; Sustainability; Health & Safety; Other Legal Considerations

**12 ADVICE SOUGHT**

12.1 The Council's Monitoring Officer (Divisional Director – Legal and Democratic Services) and Section 151 Officer (Divisional Director - Finance) have had the opportunity to input to this report and have cleared it for publication.

<b>Contact person</b>	Nick Jeanes 01225 394256
<b>Background papers</b>	Full set of plans of the proposals, available from Kris Gardom, Traffic & Safety Team, 01225 395362
<b>Please contact the report author if you need to access this report in an alternative format</b>	